

July K2  
Talkeetna, AK.

# RECEIVED Local Boundary Commission Staff

JUL 18 2008

(Home Rule)

3AAC-110 480

Oppose incorporation of our Town of Talkeetna,  
AK Local Boundary Commission

MRAD of 52 signatures won over 253 signatures That  
opposed incorporating Talkeetna, How can The 52 signatures  
of the petition be approved? Can 52 people have the  
say over The 253 people That opposed? IT is saying  
That less people know more about what <sup>more</sup> people should  
have and for the good of The people?

A Town of 738 people cannot support The budget  
The Committee has set up for Talkeetna, AK To incorporate  
a home Rule City. The citizens of Talkeetna are not adequately  
represented by its Council. And proves it by The 52  
signatures that won, over the 253 signatures that opposed  
The incorporation of Talkeetna, AK. also by The Amendments  
The committee has set up. If The Talkeetna citizens can't  
have a say on what mill rate, additional taxes etc Then  
The citizens do not in fact control their government.

and Section 8.3 allows The city(town) To place a levy  
against your land if you balk at paying them for  
"improvements" that they don't need. Even with  
public hearings, if The Voter's don't want it, They  
can choose to pass it anyway. The Amendments  
aren't set up for The plain living people with  
not much income with only working <sup>many</sup> months  
a year that live here.

The citizens here in Talkeetna, AK didn't see, The DGRN  
Report and The Borough's Responsive Brief, both of  
which are against Talkeetna's incorporation and  
put forth clear and logical reasons why.

20/3

To

The Local Boundary Commission  
Staff.

July K2  
Talkieetna, AK  
3 AAC-110 480

The State of Alaska Public Utilities Commission requires that all state water and sewer operators be state certified. There hasn't been enough consideration given to the logistical and practical difficulties of this transfer. There is no mention in the budget of running water and sewer to answer creeks where the boundary line is. Which the home town rule must include all of the essential town services to everyone. This does not meet state standards for incorporation for Talkieetna, AK.

The Borough has recommended that Talkieetna not assume solid waste services since the borough program is apparently working quite well.

In conclusion, it is our clear contention that at this time Talkieetna does not have a reliable gauge of revenue which proves it can fund its proposed budget. There are too many flaws in the proposed home rule charter that are designed to undermine the public's voice in decisions which concern it, the best interests of the residents of Talkieetna in mind with their plan of incorporate when a clear majority of petitions signatures oppose it.

and the council never put the yellow Public Notice filing of amendments to petition for incorporation of the city of Talkieetna, because of the failure on the part of the frontiersman to publish notice of action in the comment period as required. The white Public Notice was left with the wrong date. (July 17, K2) The real date of July 21, K2. AT the Post Office in Talkieetna. Very wrong!

July 14, K2.  
Talkieetna, AK

To

Local Boundary Commission Staff

3 AFC 110, 480

• 343  
I do know now and in the past that the Borough (mat-su) could do better by TALKIEETNA, AK. We have been here since the late 60's. I feel that fed. state, water supply, waste disposal, health services & electricity - lawyers are still in the future for Talkieetna, AK and we should remain with the mat-su-borough.

Thanks —

Constance M. Twigg

Constance M. Twigg. P.O. Box 266

Talkieetna, AK

99676

907-733-2226

I am an Alaskan Resident and I have known Francis and Constance Twigg as Alaskan Residents for  
34 1/2 years.

Dated: 07-13-00

Printed name: Holly Janice Sheldon, Po Box 813, Talkeetna,  
Alaska 99676  
Signature: Holly Sheldon (907) 733-2413  
574-34-2534